

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	09/621,946		DILIP ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Narayanswamy Subramanian		3695	

All Participants:

(1) Narayanswamy Subramanian.

(2) Barbara B. Courtney (Reg. No 42,442).

Date of Interview: 16 July 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

112, second paragraph

Claims discussed:

85-94

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: RCE

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 8:00 PM

/Narayanswamy Subramanian/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3695

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Subramanian discussed potential 112, second paragraph rejections in the proposed new claims filed on July 14, 2010 and suggested claim language to overcome the rejections. Attorney Courtney requested the Examiner to amend the claims by Examiner's amendment.